Media Law Study Guide

I. Tips for success

- Form a study group but beware of groupthink (when an entire study group gets the same question wrong)
- Make an index card for each of the key cases, summarizing their facts and significance.
- Use the textbook as a foundation (sheet music) and lecture notes for special emphases (jazz) and for content not in the book but that the professor believes is important, such as the explanation of incorporation.
- Ask the prof **specific** questions, which exclude overly broad questions such as, "What's libel?" But don't wait until the night before the exam.

II. Concepts and Themes

- Sources of U.S. law and types of U.S. law
- What the law is supposed to do (stability and security)
- How our government makes law
- Deductive and inductive law
- Brief history of the First Amendment and the Bill of Rights
- Court systems and how they fit together (District, Circuit, USSC, etc.)
- Time, place and manner restrictions and statutes, and content neutrality, including strict scrutiny
- Incitement tests
- Censorship, licensing, taxation and, more broadly, prior restraints
- William Blackstone, Oliver Wendell Holmes, Jr. and common law
- Incorporating the First Amendment through the Fourteenth Amendment to apply to state laws
- Jurisprudential philosophies about how to interpret and apply the F.A.
- Kinds or types of legal codes or systems of law in other countries
- Speech as conduct (action), conduct as speech, symbolic speech
- Philosophical arguments for freedom of expression protections
- Arguments for the suspension of these freedoms during times of war
- Arguments for the limiting of these freedoms in public school settings, including colleges and universities, and the limits of the First Amendment in educational settings, including off-campus, online expression
- Pentagon Papers
- Time/Place/Manner restrictions and the O'Brien Test
- Political speech and commercial speech
- Corporate speech, government speech, anonymous speech
- Compelled speech
- Obscenity and, more broadly, offensive speech, hate speech as a legal concept, the riddle of speech codes, 'Son of Sam' laws, whistle-blower speech and protections
- The concepts of a "true threats" and "intent" and their application in hate speech cases

III. Identify and place into context the following terms

(note: This list is my best attempt to catalog what we've covered and, therefore, what might appear on the exam, but it should not be considered all-inclusive. Consider it **nearly** all-inclusive):

seditious libel, precedent and *stare decisis*, judicial review, *writ of certiorari*, jurisdiction, common law, prior restraint, 'clear and present danger' tests, incitement tests, summary judgment, appeals courts, statutory law, public forum/non-public forum, incorporation, plaintiff, defendant, due process, concurring opinion, dissenting opinion, *per curiam* opinion, torts (civil wrongs), jurisdiction, forum shopping, amicus brief, to "remand" a case, ad hoc balancing, preferred position balancing, absolutism, utilitarianism, strict scrutiny, Bad Tendency Test, 'fighting words' doctrine, 'true threat,' incitement, 'rule of law', *voir dire*, change of venue, change of *venire*, Comstock Act, Hicklin rule, prurient interest, community standards (for obscenity), broadcast spectrum

Be sure to review the vocabulary words in your textbook presented in bold and in the chapter margins.

IV. Explain the significance of the following statutes and cases:

- Marbury v. Madison (1803)
- The Alien & Sedition Acts of 1798
- Barron v. Baltimore (1833)
- The Espionage Act of 1917 and Sedition Act of 1918
- Smith Act of 1940
- Debs v. U.S. (1919)
- Schenck V. U.S. (1919)
- Abrams v. U.S. (1919)
- Gilbert v. Minn. (1921)
- *Gitlow v. NY* (1925)
- Whitney v. California (1927)
- Near v. Minn. (1931)
- Stromberg v. California (1931)
- Grosjean v. American Press (1936)
- Dennis v. U.S. (1951)
- Yates v. U.S. (1957)
- Brandenburg v. Ohio (1969)
- U.S. v. O'Brien (1967)
- Times v. U.S. (and Washington Post v. U.S.) (1971)
- Wooley v. Maynard (1977)
- Citizens United v. FEC (2010)
- Pleasant Grove City (UT) v. Summum (2009)
- Burnside v. Byars (1965)
- Tinker v. Des Moines (1969)
- Hazelwood v. Kuhlmeier (1988)

- Beussink v. Woodland School District (1998)
- Flaherty v. Keystone Oaks School (2002)
- J.S. v. Bethlehem Area School District (2002)
- Barber v. Dearborn, Mich. P.S. (2003)
- Lowry v. Watson Chapel School District (Ark.) (2006)
- Morse v. Frederick (Alaska) (2007)
- Doninger v. Niehoff (Conn.) (2009)
- Layshock v. Hermitage School District (Pa.) (2011)
- Mahanoy Area School District v. B.L. (Pa.) (2021)
- Dickey v. Alabama State Board of Education (1967)
- Papish v. Board of Curators of the University of Missouri (1973)
- Kincaid v. Gibson (2001)
- Lane v. Simon (2004)
- *Hosty v. Carter* (2005)
- Christian Legal Society v. Martinez (2010)
- Chaplinsky v. New Hampshire (1942)
- Terminiello v. Chicago (1949)
- Cohen v. California (1971)
- RAV v. St. Paul (1992)
- Virginia v. Black (2003)
- Elonis v. U.S. (2015)
- Regina v. Hicklin (1868)
- Roth v. United States (1957)
- New York v. Ferber (1982)
- United States v. One Book Called "Ulysses" (1933)
- Miller v. California (1973)
- *Pope v. Illinois* (1987)
- Stanley v. Georgia (1969)
- *FCC v. Pacifica* (1978)
- Reno v. ACLU (1997)
- *FCC v. Fox* (2009)

V. Format of the exam

- Multiple choice
- Short answer
- Matching

Notes: Case names will be provided, but not their significance.

A study guide is an aid that a professor might or might not provide to facilitate learning and studying, it is not a student 'right.' This document, therefore, is not a contract but rather an honest attempt to help you prepare, to do well, and to know the law. It is possible that something absent from this guide might slip onto the exam; if it does, it is unintentional. It is not the professor's intention to "trick" or ambush you.

In a 50-minute test format, inevitably things you studied will not appear on the exam. It's unfortunate, but not unfair. Such brevity also means that those who

KNOW the answers will be rewarded for their certainty by saving them precious time. I wish you all success!

